

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/083,161 | 02/27/2002 | Hiroaki Kimura | 826.1799 | 8734 | |
| 21171 75 | 590 11/03/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. | | | PEIKARI, BEHZAD | | |
| | | | · ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20005 | | 2186 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED, 11/02/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|----------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER | |
| | | | | 20041101 | |

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on October 4, 2004 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

An amended title and substitute specification, including abstract, were required in response to the previous Office action. See 37 CFR 1.111.

The title was properly amended, however the Abstract was not.

The substitute specification was not filed with a marked-up copy in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.125 (c). By line-to-line comparison of the first three pages of the new specification with the original specification, the examiner could detect no changes other than the addition of paragraph numbers. Furthermore, the specification is still replete with grammatical errors as well as errors in English idiom. Since applicant has not submitted a marked-up copy of the specification, there is no way (short of a line-by-line comparison of the entire specification) for the examiner to determine whether the above-mentioned reply was bona fide.

A substitute specification, including abstract, in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.125 is required. To demonstrate how the specification may be revised in accordance with the above requirement, the examiner has included a copy of the first page of the specification and of the abstract, marked up by hand, showing where errors or redundancies or awkward language from translation may be corrected. Applicant is in no way bound by the examiner's suggested markings on these two pages.

Applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE NOT AVAILABLE.

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 2186